Work Form Affects Maximum Oxygen Uptake for One Year in Workers
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Abstract: Our previous study suggested that the work form is related to the maximum oxygen uptake (VO2max). Therefore, we test the hypothesis by a longitudinal study that, besides these lifestyle-related factors, the work form increases VO2max among workers. Seven hundred and ninety nine males aged 37.1 ± 10.6 and 395 females aged 37.7 ± 10.5 (range: 20 to 59 yr old), who participated in The Total Health Promotion Plan (THP) at their respective workplaces twice in one year from 1997 to 1998, were selected. Data on VO2max were analyzed for age, systolic blood pressure (SBP), body mass index (BMI), work form (sedentary, standing, and ambulatory), exercise, and smoking. Age and the baseline variables for BMI and for VO2max showed significant negative relationships with a change in VO2max in males and females. Further, a change in the BMI showed a significant negative relationship with a change of VO2max in males. However, exercise habit and an ambulatory work form showed significant positive relationships with a change of VO2max in males. It was suggested that after adjusting for other factors in males, an ambulatory work form may be associated with an increase in VO2max in one year.
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Introduction

As a consequence of the WHO Ottawa Charter of 1986, the Industrial Safety and Health Law was revised in Japan in 1988. It prescribes that the execution of health promotion measures for workers is an obligation of employers. In line with this, the Total Health Promotion Plan (THP) was instituted1). The THP includes not only medical examination, but also investigation of the lifestyle, and assessment of physical fitness. Determination of the maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) as an indication of whole-body endurance is included in physical fitness assessment. Based on these results, guidance for health care and psychological counseling are provided to employees.

It has been reported that VO2max is influenced by lifestyle-related factors such as exercise and smoking2–12). Previous research reported that a fall in VO2max is closely associated with cardiovascular system disorders, such as heart disease and hypertension7, 10, 13–19).

Based on this evidence and from the viewpoint of preventive medicine, VO2max is being used as a health
index in THP.

In 1945, when work loads were intense, in order to conserve strength, Brody\textsuperscript{20} proposed that work intensity during the working shift should not exceed 50% of VO\textsubscript{2max}. Since then, mechanization in the work place and automation have advanced, and, further, as a result, work intensity in recent years has decreased markedly and industries with a low work intensities tend to prevail in developed countries. According to Andersen\textsuperscript{21}, VO\textsubscript{2max} measurements among male government officials, white-collar workers, and university students were significantly higher than those in blue-collar workers and the unemployed. His interpretation was that physical activity in persons of the upper class was realized largely through leisure-time activity. Furthermore, he proposed that gymnastics classes at schools for occupational training improved the lifestyle quality and endurance of students.

The work form, which is of a low intensity in many industries, and working duration, which is an average of 8 h per day for five to six days per week, may affect VO\textsubscript{2max} among workers over a protracted period of time. To analyze the relationship between the work form and VO\textsubscript{2max} for the purposes of occupational health, work form (sedentary, standing, and ambulatory) was chosen as an indication of physical activity in the author’s previous study\textsuperscript{12}.

The most popular reference for the categorization of physical activity levels is the 4-point classification system in the Japanese guideline developed by the present authors\textsuperscript{22} and Nagaya \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{23}. Using this 4-scale categorization, and a self-judged 3-point work activity level (weak, moderate, and strong) a significant relationship between work activity and serum lipids was noted.

By means of modifying the Japan Ministry 4-point classification system, Kishida \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} considered the duration and a combined walk and work form, and categorized the work intensity into 3 categories (light, moderate, and heavy). They then showed a significant effect of work load intensity on VO\textsubscript{2max}. Suenaga\textsuperscript{25}, for the purpose of assessing the pattern of physical activity more precisely, further revised the usual Japan Government 4-point classification, into 6 groups: 1) sedentary, 2) sedentary and walking, 3) sedentary and brisk walking, 4) sedentary and exercise, 5) active with no regular job but spending spare time actively and, 6) active with no regular job but spending spare time actively with exercise. In the study of Suenaga\textsuperscript{25}, all groups showed significantly higher values of VO\textsubscript{2max} than the sedentary group.

In order to consider the effect of the work load on VO\textsubscript{2max}, we took the work form as another measure of physical activity, independent of exercise habit\textsuperscript{7, 11, 12, 23, 24, 27}. We categorized the work form into “sedentary”, “standing”, and “ambulatory”, in order of increasing work load intensity\textsuperscript{12}.

Our present study is similar to these three preceding studies\textsuperscript{23–25} in terms of the terminology used for categorizing the work load. There have been only two studies\textsuperscript{19, 22} addressing work intensity with regard to VO\textsubscript{2max}. Our study addressed the relationship between the work form and VO\textsubscript{2max} using the 3-scale category of work intensity, similarly to these two studies.

In our cross-sectional study\textsuperscript{12} using a multiple regression model, both categories of work form, standing and ambulatory, were shown to be associated independently with a high VO\textsubscript{2max}. Moreover, the regression coefficients for the two were proportional to the order of intensity.

The objective of this study was to analyze continuous data obtained over one year on VO\textsubscript{2max} recorded in THP.

We also examined whether the work form and the following factors affect ΔVO\textsubscript{2max} (value at the second measurement minus that at the first measurement) for one year: age, systolic blood pressure (SBP) as a physiological factor related to the cardiovascular system, body mass index (BMI) as a physical factor, smoking, exercise habit, and history. These factors were examined among those whose lifestyle and exercise habits did not change over the study period.

Subjects

According to the Industrial Health and Safety Law, the Japan Assembly approved the THP policy in association with the budget. In line with this, the Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association (JISHA) drew up a contract with the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare to perform examinations. The Safety and Health Committee within each enterprise, which is composed of employers, industrial physicians, and representatives of employees and is set up according to the Industrial Safety and Health Law, also gave approval.

The management staff of the companies fully explained THP to all subjects. The staff of Fukui Health Service Association also fully explained to all subjects the details of measurements and obtained oral consent at the time of the examination.

In line with this, 1,534 male and 610 female workers (range: 20 to 59 yr old) underwent medical examinations, investigation of the lifestyle, and assessment of physical fitness for the THP at Fukui Health Service Association twice over a one-year period between 1997 and 1998. Subjects meeting the following 2 criteria were selected: being free from contraindications for the
test and capable of undergoing the exercise test without any problems, and currently receiving no medication or therapy. This produced 1,436 male and 583 female workers for the study.

On the assumption that the physical strength level of these workers was in the normal range, those whose \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max was separated by 3σ or more from the means of the distribution of \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max in each gender and age group (10 yr) were excluded. Finally, there were 1,416 male (average age ± SD: 36.8 ± 10.7 yr) and 569 females (average age ± SD: 37.7 ± 10.5 yr) subjects.

In addition, those whose work form, exercise, and smoking habits did not change from the first to second examination were selected. Finally, the subjects consisted of 799 males (average age ± SD: 37.1 ± 10.6 yr) and 395 females (average age ± SD: 37.7 ± 10.5 yr).

Table 1 shows the characteristics of subjects by gender.

### Methods

The following factors which may affect VO\(_2\)max were chosen for analysis: age, SBP (mmHg) as one of the physiological factors, BMI as one of the physical factors (a physical index computed from the height and weight), work form as an index of work intensity, i.e., sedentary, standing, or ambulatory, exercise habit and exercise history as part of physical activity factors, and smoking as a lifestyle-related factor.

Changes in parameters, i.e., \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \)max, \( \Delta \)SBP (mmHg) (value at the second minus that at the first measurement), and \( \Delta \)BMI (value at the second minus that at the first measurement) were identified by subtracting the value obtained at the first examination from that at the second, and positive values were considered as increases, while negative ones were decreases.

\( \dot{V}O_2 \)max was measured using a bicycle ergometer in the same way as in our cross-sectional study\(^{(12)}\). The blood pressure was measured using an automatic scale as in our cross-sectional study.

We also categorized exercise habit, exercise history, work form, and smoking habit in the same way as in our cross-sectional study, as follows:

- **Exercise habit** was assessed on a 5-point scale based on frequency: 1) performed almost every day, 2) 3 or 4 times per week, 3) 1 or 2 times per week, 4) 1 or 2 times per month, 5) only occasional exercise.
- **Exercise history** was assessed based on exercise experience: 1) walking, 2) jogging and running, 3) cycling, 4) swimming, 5) gymnastics, 6) healthy gymnastics and stretching, 7) ball games, such as tennis and volleyball, 8) ball games, such as baseball and softball, 9) mountain climbing and hiking, and 10) golf.
- **Work form** was assessed on a 3-point scale: 1) mostly sitting down, 2) mostly standing, and 3) mostly walking.
- **Smoking** was assessed by the present smoking status: 1) smoker, and 2) non-smoker (including ex-smoker).

Responses to this question were categorized into two because, according to the literature\(^{(10)}\), effects on health, exercise twice a week has beneficial where as one time or less per month means nothing. An answer (5-point scale: 3) Indicating a habit of two times a week was defined as an “exercise habit”, although once a week was included. Our previous report adopted this criterion, and the scaling was continuous in the present study\(^{(12)}\).

- **Exercise history”** was assessed based on exercise experience: 1) walking, 2) jogging and running, 3) cycling, 4) swimming, 5) gymnastics, 6) healthy gymnastics and stretching, 7) ball games, such as tennis and volleyball, 8) ball games, such as baseball and softball, 9) mountain climbing and hiking, and 10) golf.
- **Work form”** was assessed on a 3-point scale: 1) mostly sitting down, 2) mostly standing, and 3) mostly walking.
- **Smoking”** was assessed by the present smoking status: 1) smoker, and 2) non-smoker (including ex-smoker).

### Table 1. Number (%) of subjects by work form, exercise habit, exercise history, and smoking according to gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male (N=799)</th>
<th>Female (N=395)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work form</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary(^{a})</td>
<td>264 (33)</td>
<td>250 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing(^{b})</td>
<td>144 (20)</td>
<td>63 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory(^{c})</td>
<td>391 (47)</td>
<td>82 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise habit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exercise habit</td>
<td>184 (23)</td>
<td>67 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise history</td>
<td>615 (73)</td>
<td>328 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exercise history</td>
<td>344 (43)</td>
<td>190 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>453 (58)</td>
<td>36 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No smoking history</td>
<td>346 (42)</td>
<td>359 (91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Work form: Sedentary, \(^{b}\)Work form: Standing, \(^{c}\)Work form: Ambulatory.
Statistical Analysis

1) The significance of differences in the mean values of measurement parameters, i.e., \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), SBP, and BMI, between the first and second measurements was analyzed by the paired \( t \)-test in each gender.

The significance of differences in the mean values of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) by work form between the first and second measurements was analyzed by the paired \( t \)-test in each gender. Differences were judged to be significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

2) Simple correlation analysis of \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) with each of the ten factors was performed for each gender.

Correlation analyses with these quantitative variables, namely age, SBP, and BMI, were performed using Pearson’s correlation analysis or Spearman’s method when at least one of the variables was categorical.

Differences were judged to be significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

3) Multiple regression analyses of \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) as the dependent variable with the ten factors as independent variables were performed for each gender, as shown below.

We included age, SBP, and BMI as variables since they exhibited significant influences on \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) in our previous cross-sectional study \(^{12}\) using a multiple regression model. We chose work form, exercise habit, exercise history, and smoking in the same way as in our cross-sectional study. Furthermore, we added \( \Delta \text{SBP} \), \( \Delta \text{BMI} \), and baseline values for \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) as independent variables. A dummy variable was used for work form, i.e., sedentary, standing, and ambulatory (standard variable: sedentary), and a variable of work form was forced into the regression equation. The other nine factors were applied using the stepwise method.

A variable was adopted when the \( F \) value was 2.00 or more. Differences were judged to be significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

All statistical analyses were performed using the 11.0J version of the SPSS statistical package for personal computers (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

1) Comparison of the first and second values

Table 2 shows that the second BMI value was significantly higher than the first only in males. However, there were no significant differences between the first and second values for \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) and SBP in either males or females.

Table 3 shows that there were no significant differences between the first and second values for work form (“sedentary”, “standing”, and “ambulatory”) in either males or females.

2) Simple correlation analysis of factors to \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \)

Table 4 shows significant negative correlations between \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) and the baseline \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) for both males and females.

In males, a significant positive correlation between \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) and the baseline BMI, and a significant negative correlation between \( \Delta \text{BMI} \) were observed.

3) Multiple regression analysis of \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) with the factors

Although age, exercise habit, and work form showed

---

**Table 2. Physical characteristics of subjects by gender (Mean ± SD)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Male (N=799)</th>
<th>Female (N=395)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First examination</td>
<td>Second examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>37.1 ± 10.6</td>
<td>38.1 ± 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} ) (ml/kg/min) (^a)</td>
<td>37.8 ± 5.9</td>
<td>37.8 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg) (^b)</td>
<td>122.5 ± 11.8</td>
<td>123.1 ± 12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (^c)</td>
<td>22.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>22.8 ± 2.8(^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Significant difference at \( p < 0.05 \).  \(^b\)\( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \): Maximum oxygen uptake; \(^c\)SBP: Systolic blood pressure; \(^c\)BMI: Body mass index.

**Table 3. \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) of subjects with work form by gender (Mean ± SD)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work form</th>
<th>Male (N=799)</th>
<th>Female (N=395)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First examination</td>
<td>Second examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary (^a)</td>
<td>36.6 ± 6.1</td>
<td>36.5 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing (^b)</td>
<td>37.9 ± 5.9</td>
<td>37.9 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory (^c)</td>
<td>38.9 ± 6.0</td>
<td>39.0 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Work form: Sedentary, \(^b\)Work form: Standing, \(^c\)Work form: Ambulatory.
no correlation on simple correlation analysis, our previous cross-sectional study\(^\text{(12)}\) revealed the significant correlation of all these variables with \(\dot{V}O_2\max\), both by simple correlation and multiple regression analyses. Therefore, these variables were put into the multiple regression analysis.

Table 5 shows that the factors with significant positive standardized regression coefficients to \(\Delta \dot{V}O_2\max\) in males were exercise habit (SRC 0.084) and the “ambulatory” (SRC 0.074) work form. The factors with significant negative standardized regression coefficients to \(\Delta \dot{V}O_2\max\) for males were the baseline \(\dot{V}O_2\max\) (SRC \(-0.562\)), baseline BMI (SRC \(-0.243\)), \(\Delta BMI\) (SRC \(-0.236\)), and age (SRC \(-0.097\)). Although the “standing” (SRC 0.042) work form showed a positive standardized regression coefficient, significance was not reached. Although the work forms of “standing” and “ambulatory” showed positive standardized regression coefficients, significance was not observed for females. Factors with significant negative standardized regression coefficients for females were the baseline \(\dot{V}O_2\max\) (SRC \(-0.597\)), baseline BMI (SRC \(-0.199\)), and age (SRC \(-0.131\)) in this order of decreasing strength of association. Exercise habit was not adopted as an independent variable in females. Exercise history was not adopted as an independent variable in either males or females.

Discussion

**Relationship of \(\dot{V}O_2\max\) with the factors**

Various lifestyle-related factors influence \(\dot{V}O_2\max\). Previous studies have reported that aging, being overweight, and hypertension reduce \(\dot{V}O_2\max\), whilst exercise increases it\(^7, 9, 11, 24, 26, 27\). In our present research\(^\text{(12)}\), simple correlation analysis revealed significant negative correlations between \(\dot{V}O_2\max\), age, SBP, and BMI for both males and females. Significant positive correlations between \(\dot{V}O_2\max\) and exercise habit were observed for both males and females.  

**Table 4. Correlation analysis of \(\Delta \dot{V}O_2\max\) with age, SBP, BMI, exercise habit, exercise history, work form, and smoking by gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Simple correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)(^b)</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI(^c)</td>
<td>0.073(^*)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise habit</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise history</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work form</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\dot{V}O_2\max) (ml/kg/min)(^d)</td>
<td>-0.386(^*)</td>
<td>-0.484(^*)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta SBP) (mmHg)(^f)</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta BMI)(^f)</td>
<td>-0.233(^*)</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)Significant correlation at \(p<0.05\).  
\(^b\)SBP: Baseline systolic blood pressure,  
\(^c\)BMI: Baseline body mass index,  
\(^d\)\(\dot{V}O_2\max\): Baseline maximum oxygen uptake,  
\(^f\)\(\Delta BMI\): Value at the second minus that at the first measurement.

**Table 5. Multiple regression analysis of \(\Delta \dot{V}O_2\max\) with age, BMI, exercise habit, work form, and smoking by gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Male Standardized regression coefficient</th>
<th>T values</th>
<th>(p) values</th>
<th>Female Standardized regression coefficient</th>
<th>T values</th>
<th>(p) values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
<td>-2.957</td>
<td>0.003(^*)</td>
<td>-0.131</td>
<td>-2.730</td>
<td>0.007(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI(^b)</td>
<td>-0.243</td>
<td>-7.627</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(^*)</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
<td>-3.936</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise habit</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>0.009(^*)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing(^c)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory(^d)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>2.088</td>
<td>0.037(^*)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>-2.577</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\dot{V}O_2\max) (ml/kg/min)(^f)</td>
<td>-0.562</td>
<td>-14.347</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(^*)</td>
<td>-0.597</td>
<td>-12.577</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta BMI)(^f)</td>
<td>-0.236</td>
<td>-6.440</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(^*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted for R square  
0.246\(^*\)  
0.284\(^*\)

\(^*\)Significant difference at \(p<0.05\).  
\(^b\)BMI: Baseline body mass index,  
\(^c\)Work form: Standing, \(^d\)Work form: Ambulatory,  
\(^f\)\(\dot{V}O_2\max\): Baseline maximum oxygen uptake.
males and females. Moreover, the multiple regression analysis showed significant relationships of age, exercise habit, and work form with $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}^{2max}$. Although simple analysis did not show the significance of these variables, multiple regression analysis could adjust for these variables. These variables presumably confounded each other in the simple analysis.

Suemaga$^{25}$ reported that, at five years or more after stopping an exercise habit, there was a significant negative partial regression coefficient between $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ and years since ceasing exercising. We found no significant relation between $\Delta \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ and exercise history by simple correlation analysis. Therefore, it was not adopted as an independent variable in multiple regression analysis.

The difficulty of quantifying an exercise history using a questionnaire of the THP is a limitation of the present study’s precision. Previous research reported that smoking decreases $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}^{2max}$. According to a cross-sectional study by Yamaji$^{10}$, there was no significant difference in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ between smokers and non-smokers. Although smoking was not shown to be related to $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ in a preceding cross-sectional study$^7$, it was adopted as a significant negative factor in males in a longitudinal study$^{11}$.

In our cross-sectional study$^{12}$, using multiple regression analysis, no significant correlation between these factors was detected in males. In contrast, in females, a positive standardized regression coefficient was detected and, thereafter, smoking was adopted as an independent variable. In our present longitudinal study, no significance was observed for the factor of smoking in either males or females. Therefore, the results for smoking are conflicting, so a long-term longitudinal study is desirable to clarify this.

Physical work load

Some research has been published on the relationship between work load and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$. Kishida et al.$^{24}$ demonstrated a significant positive partial correlation coefficient of 0.193 in males in their 30’s between physical activity including work and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$. According to a report by Suemaga$^{25}$, $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ of active middle-aged males was significantly higher than in those mainly seated during work.

Naito$^{19}$ reported that $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ was high among standing workers. By measuring Metabolic Equivalents (METS), he attributed the large amount of energy expended for standing to the increase in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$. In these studies, exercise and work load were summed to calculate the total amount of physical activity.

In our previous study$^{12}$, exercise and work form were used as independent factors. Furthermore, the influence of age, baseline BMI, $\Delta$BMI, baseline blood pressure, $\Delta$blood pressure, exercise, and smoking were adjusted for each other in multivariate analysis, and we demonstrated through a follow-up study for one year in males that an ambulatory work form may be associated with an increase in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$. In today’s mechanized industry, it might positively affect fitness, namely $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$.

Although the factors of an "ambulatory" work form in females showed positive standardized regression coefficients, significance was not observed. This may be because the actual working duration and intensity of moving or standing at work are different between males and females. For instance, a working time of more than 8 hours per day and somewhat heavy load are usually seen among males.

There is room for further investigation regarding this point as well.

Study prospective

To overcome the limitation of the one-year longitudinal study, a more long-term longitudinal study is required. The work intensity also needs to be quantitatively examined to further test the hypothesis that it contributes to the increase in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$.
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