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Abstract: The present study proposes a set of quality requirements to management practices by taking into account the empirical evidence on their potential effects on health, the systemic nature of social organisations, and the current conceptualisations of management functions within the framework of comprehensive quality management systems. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses focusing on the associations between leadership and/or supervision and health in occupational settings are evaluated, and the core elements of an ISO 9001 standardisation approach are presented. Six major occupational health requirements to high-quality management practices are identified pertaining to communication processes, organisational justice, role clarity, decision making, social influence processes and management support. It is concluded that the quality of management practices may be improved by developing a quality management system of management practices that ensures not only conformity to product but also to occupational safety and health requirements. Further research may evaluate the practicability of the proposed approach.
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Introduction

Management practices, as a general concept including not only leadership in terms of social influence processes, but also the direction and control of organisations, have been identified in occupational health research as a key organisational factor involved in the causal pathways linking the work environment and several health-related outcomes of the organisation’s members. In spite of the uncertainty regarding the specific causal mechanisms determining those pathways, several systematic reviews and meta-analyses, especially in the leadership and epidemiology literature, have reported consistent associations between leadership practices and important health-related outcomes such as affective symptoms, burnout, stress, well-being, musculoskeletal symptoms, job satisfaction, and organisational commitment. However, research on management practices is usually restricted to the investigation of the most efficient ways of attaining organisational goals and sustaining organisational functions, without taking into account that the manner how management practices are enacted has important effects on members’ physical and mental health. In fact, management research has produced an enormous body of evidence concerning strategic management, human resources, attainment of organisational goals, the design of work processes, and the enhancement of individual and organisational performance, among others. On the contrary, the literature on theoretical and practical approaches to health-oriented management practices is much more limited. Some...
recent theoretical and practical approaches in this direction are based on the so-called “health-oriented leadership behaviours” such as leader behavioural integrity regarding safety and work-related injuries\(^{16}\), leaders’ health-specific orientation towards followers and followers’ health-specific behaviour towards themselves\(^{17}\).

Although these approaches incorporate leadership as an important factor promoting health and reducing work-related symptoms and health risks, they are not adequate for defining occupational health criteria regarding the quality of management practices. This inadequacy is due to at least three major limitations. First, it is proposed that leader behaviours may be used as an instrument for complying with occupational health regulations and goals. Thus, the fact that management (and/or leadership) practices per se are an occupational health factor is not addressed. Second, leader health-oriented behaviours are defined at the individual and not at the organisational level. Hence, the systemic nature of organisations is not properly considered. And third, the sustainability and impact of healthy management (and/or leadership) practices is not guaranteed. On the contrary, focusing on leader behaviour may increase the vulnerability and instability of management procedures of organisations\(^{20}\). A process-oriented approach in occupational health management has several advantages including the elimination or reduction of the causes leading to work-related symptoms or diseases\(^{21}\), a lower cost of implementation, maintenance and improvement of healthy work environments due to its compatibility with comprehensive management systems\(^{19}\), and a higher resilience in face of external or internal changes affecting the organisation (see Table 1). Moreover, in comparison with the NICE guidelines, a process-oriented approach offers a general principle of action and coordination of activities that conceptually separates outcomes and managerial activities from individual behaviours. In addition, a process-based

---

**Table 1. Standardisation approaches for compliance with occupational safety and health (OSH) regulations and guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Traditional approach: compliance with OSH statutory requirements | - Compliance to requirements only — no further efforts expected  
- Simple evaluation of degree of compliance (e.g. check lists, questionnaires)  
- Very low costs for a given minimum level of compliance | - Predominantly ad-hoc solutions  
- Increasing operative costs in the long run due to deficient health prevention strategies  
- No incentives for improvement  
- Superficial evaluation may lead to persistent occupational health risks |
| Process-based approach: integration of OSH regulation in the internal processes of organisations | - Compliance to requirements is the result of internal processes  
- Integration of OSH in existing management systems  
- Continual improvement of OSH-related output beyond legal requirements  
- Systematic assessment of risks at their origin in internal processes  
- Risk reduction measures involve whole processes and their interactions | - Higher costs of implementation during early stages due to the adaptation and change of existing internal processes  
- Continual measurement, analysis and evaluation may be unfeasible for small organisations with lower resources |

---

...
approach facilitates the integration of new occupational health requirements in different internal processes of the organisation.

Consequently, the present paper proposes a set of specific quality requirements of management practices that takes into account (1) the empirical evidence of the potential effects of management practices on health, (2) the systemic nature of social organisations, and (3) current conceptualisations of management functions within the framework of comprehensive quality management systems. The main objective is thus to propose a standardisation approach which combines the results of occupational health research on management (and leadership) practices, and the commitment demanded from management to attain organisational goals.

Theoretical Background

The ISO 9000 standardisation approach in occupational safety and health

The ISO 9000 standard is a process-based quality management system, i.e. a standard that specifies a set of concepts and procedures by means of which an organisation should direct and control its processes with regard to quality requirements. Within the ISO 9000 approach, the concept of process refers to “a set of interrelated or interacting activities which transform inputs into outputs”\(^2\). Processes constitute the core elements of the quality management system since they may take a set of quality requirements as inputs and produce a set of outputs satisfying those initial requirements (see upper loop in Fig. 1). Moreover, processes may be interconnected to establish a time-dependent feedback system of continual improvement that enhances the fulfilment of quality requirements of products or services.
The adaptation of a process-based quality management system such as ISO 9000 to occupational health (OSH) requirements may represent a more suitable alternative for improving compliance. This can be observed if we compare the traditional approach that has been used to enhance compliance with OSH regulations with a process-based one. In the traditional approach, organisations are simply expected to comply with OSH statutory requirements only. On the contrary, a process-based approach integrates OSH regulation in the internal processes of the organisation so that compliance thus becomes the result of internal processes leading to conformity with specific quality requirements of occupational health.

Even though under certain circumstances both standardisation approaches may lead to similar results concerning the health states of the organisation’s members, they substantially differ regarding the role of occupational health requirements and members’ health in the system processes. Whereas in the first approach occupational health requirements correspond to disturbances of organisational processes, i.e. the employers’ obligation to comply with external requirements outside the scope of organisational functions, in the second approach they are essential components of input. Moreover, since the first approach does not specify the role of members’ health in the system, it seems that health is not an integral part of organisational processes. This is contrasted in the second approach by interpreting health as an organisational output.

Since the aim of the present paper is to propose a standardisation of management practices combining occupational health requirements and management’s commitment to organisational goals, a process-based approach seems to be much more suitable for this purpose. A comparison of the advantages and disadvantages of each approach (see Table 1) suggests that a process-based management system is superior not only regarding internalisation of OSH regulations, but also the improvement of occupational health practices. In view of the fact that the ISO 9000 family of standards is by far the most widely spread quality management system across industrial sectors, the standardisation of management practices presented in this study follows the ISO 9000 principles and recommendations for quality management.

However, before proceeding to the description of the proposed standardisation of management practices, it is necessary to specify some basic concepts that will be used in later sections. Management designates the “coordinated activities to direct and control an organization”\(^2\). Even though the concept of leadership is commonly understood as the social influence processes taken place between leaders and followers\(^1–14\), it is clear that from a functional perspective leadership is enacted to coordinate activities, and to direct and control the organisation. Thus, it is convenient for the purposes of standardisation to subsume these social influence processes in the general concept of management. Practices designate overt and measurable behaviours and institutionalised norms such as instructions, prescriptions, proscriptions and sanctions enacted by the members of the organisation\(^23,24\). Quality is defined as the degree to which a “set of inherent characteristics fulfils requirements”\(^22\). In this paper, requirements correspond to occupational health needs or expectations, implied or obligatory, concerning management practices. Management system defines a system to establish policy and objectives and to achieve those objectives\(^29\).

**Empirical evidence on management practices concerning health and organisational outcomes**

In order to capture fundamental characteristics of management practices affecting health and organisational outcomes, systematic reviews and meta-analyses focusing on the associations between leadership and/or supervision and health in occupational settings were identified by screening in the following databases: PubMed (PMC), Scopus and PsycArticles. The queries were supplemented by a manual search in leading journals of occupational health, management sciences, and organisational psychology (see Annex 1). The search strings for each database had the general form “Leadership/Management AND Health/Organisational outcomes AND Occupational setting”, and are reported in Annex 1.

A total of 19 systematic reviews and meta-analyses investigating the relationship between management practices (leadership and/or supervision), health and organisational outcomes were identified. Most studies were performed in the context of leadership research in organisational psychology, and focus on the following management practices and/or leadership styles and behaviours. Transformational leadership refers to an inspiring, charismatic, motivating and visionary leadership style\(^25,26\). Transactional leadership represents the exchanges resulting from a relationship of mutual dependence between leaders and followers\(^23\). Leader-member exchange refers to the observation that leaders develop differentiated relationships with their followers\(^27\). It is expected that the quality of the leader-member exchange affects several health and organisational outcomes. Relations-oriented leader behaviours include several facets including concern for
Table 2. Evidence on management practices affecting health and organisational outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Management/Leadership practices</th>
<th>Health outcomes</th>
<th>Organisational outcomes</th>
<th>Implications for management practice requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chiaburu et al.</td>
<td>Leader-member exchange, empowering leadership, leader fairness, openness and consideration</td>
<td>Increased change-oriented citizenship behaviour</td>
<td>Organisational resilience may be improved by encouraging participation in decision making and suggestions for improvements, proving feedback, rewarding employees for initiatives, and enhancing creative performance and proactive behaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiaburu et al.</td>
<td>Contingent reward, leader-member exchange, and transformational leadership</td>
<td>Proactive and prosocial behaviours, task performance</td>
<td>Leaders may utilise different leadership styles for enhancing followers' proactivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colquitt et al.</td>
<td>Organisational justice</td>
<td>Increased positive affect, decreased negative affect</td>
<td>Management practices require the formulation and documentation of clear rules concerning procedural, distributive, interpersonal and informational justice issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulebohn et al.</td>
<td>Leader-member exchange</td>
<td>Affective commitment, general job satisfaction, satisfaction with supervisor and pay</td>
<td>Reduced turnover intentions, increased job performance, increased organisational commitment, more positive perception of organisational justice and empowerment, and less role ambiguity and conflict</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerstner and Day</td>
<td>Leader-member exchange</td>
<td>Higher overall satisfaction</td>
<td>Management practices encourage high levels of reciprocity, respect and support with all work units. Segregation of in- and out-groups should be avoided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregersen et al.</td>
<td>Several leader behaviours including abusive supervision, conflict solving skills, supervisor support, relations- and task-oriented behaviour and transformational leadership</td>
<td>For positive behaviours: less health complaints, burnout and affective symptoms, and increased job satisfaction</td>
<td>Management practices have important effects on several outcomes related to mental and physical health. Organisations should minimise risks and enhance resources at the organisational and interpersonal level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings et al.</td>
<td>Governance mechanisms in health care</td>
<td>Increased job satisfaction, increased empowerment</td>
<td>Clear management goals and communication, strong leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilies et al.</td>
<td>Leader-member exchange</td>
<td>Organisational citizenship behaviours</td>
<td>High-quality relationships between leaders and followers may motivate organisation’s member to engage in behaviours beyond their prescribed roles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson et al.</td>
<td>Leader reward behaviour, and follower perception of fairness and work morale</td>
<td>Higher task performance and organisational citizenship behaviour, and fewer turnover intentions</td>
<td>Contingent reward behaviours should correspond to perceived procedural and distributive justice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge and Piccolo</td>
<td>Transformational leadership, transactional leadership, laissez-faire leadership</td>
<td>Increased group or organisation performance and leader effectiveness for transformational and transactional leadership; less leader effectiveness for laissez-faire leadership</td>
<td>Social influence may be enhanced by setting clear goals, conveying a common vision, and encouraging creativity. Management practices should be based on transparent expectations of duties and rewards. Supervisors and (line) managers should actively lead followers and take the initiative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge et al.</td>
<td>Leader consideration and leader initiating structure</td>
<td>Higher job satisfaction and motivation</td>
<td>Management practices should enforce respect and concern for all members of the organisation. Roles, goals, communication channels, and expectations should be explicitly given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Management/Leadership practices</th>
<th>Health outcomes</th>
<th>Organisational outcomes</th>
<th>Implications for management practice requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuoppala et al.</td>
<td>Relations-oriented leadership, leader support and transformational leadership</td>
<td>Increased job satisfaction and well-being</td>
<td>Higher job performance for leader support</td>
<td>Management practices have important effects on several outcomes related to mental and physical health. Organisations should minimise risks and enhance resources at the organisational and interpersonal level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng and Feldman</td>
<td>Ethical leadership</td>
<td>Higher job motivation and satisfaction, reduced job strains</td>
<td>Higher task performance, increased citizenship behaviour and affective organisational commitment, less counterproductive work behaviour, less turnover intentions</td>
<td>Management practices should foster conformity to norms, appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, trustworthiness, fairness, and prudence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyberg et al.</td>
<td>Relations- and task-oriented leadership, transformational and transactional leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Health promoting leadership behaviour includes showing consideration toward subordinates, initiating structure, allowing work control, empowering followers, inspiring and stimulating followers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podsakoff et al.</td>
<td>Contingent and non-contingent reward and punishment leader behaviour</td>
<td>Increased affective commitment, continuance commitment, perceived organisational support and higher performance, and less turnover intentions for contingent reward behaviour of leaders. The opposite associations hold for non-contingent punishment behaviour</td>
<td>The effectiveness of reward and punishment leader behaviour depends on whether they are enacted contingently upon employee performance. Moreover, these leader behaviours strongly depend on employees’ perception of justice and role ambiguity. Management practices should clearly communicate the procedures related to contingent rewards and punishments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockstuhl et al.</td>
<td>Leader-member exchange</td>
<td>Higher job satisfaction</td>
<td>Increased task performance, organisational commitment behaviour, higher levels of perceived justice and affective commitment</td>
<td>Management practices should foster high quality relationships between leaders and followers by taking into account specificities or organisational and societal culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schyns and Schilling</td>
<td>Destructive leadership behaviours (including abusive supervision, bossing, negative leadership, among others)</td>
<td>Higher levels of negative affectivity, stress and lower levels of well-being and individual performance</td>
<td>Higher turnover intentions, counterproductive work behaviour, and decreased levels of perceived justice and less overall commitment</td>
<td>All forms of destructive leadership (aggression, mobbing, mocking) should be strictly avoided and/or sanctioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seibert et al.</td>
<td>Perceived high-quality management practices, leader support, positive communication with supervisor, participative and transformational leadership, and trust in leader</td>
<td>Increased psychological empowerment, improved job satisfaction, reduced strain</td>
<td>Reduced turnover intentions, increased organisational commitment</td>
<td>Perceptions of the quality of management practices should inform the formulation of management practices guidelines regarding central management aspects such as incentives, organisational empowerment, participatory goal setting and decision making, justice/fairness procedures, and organisational learning practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skakon et al.</td>
<td>Leader consideration and support, and transformational leadership</td>
<td>Higher well-being levels, job satisfaction, less stress and burnout</td>
<td></td>
<td>Management practices have important effects on several outcomes related to mental and physical health. Organisations should minimise risks and enhance resources at the organisational and interpersonal level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the problems of subordinates, participative leadership, and conflict-solving abilities, among others\textsuperscript{[14]}). \textit{Task-oriented leader behaviours} include facets such as a well-defined assignment of tasks to subordinates, an emphasis on the achievement of groups’ goals, and a focus on production and organisational deadlines\textsuperscript{[13]}. \textit{Leader and organisational support} denote the beliefs that supervisors, (line) managers (or the perceived organisation) assist followers for the successful completion of job tasks and assignments, and provide socio-emotional support\textsuperscript{[28, 29]}. The direction of associations between management and/or leadership practices, health and organisational outcomes, and their implications for occupational health requirements concerning management practices are reported in Table 2.

**Occupational health requirements concerning management practices**

The results summarised in Table 2 strongly support the notion that specific management practices may have large impacts on a large array of both health-related and organisational outcomes. Instances of these practices include a high-quality of social interaction between leaders and followers, relations- and task-oriented leader behaviours, transparent rules concerning organisational justice (e.g. procedural, distributive, and interactional justice), appropriate internal and external communication channels, and a motivating, inspiring and trustful leadership. Since these results are based on systematic reviews and meta-analyses covering hundreds of studies and samples in different countries and occupational settings, they represent a solid basis for establishing major occupational health requirements to management practices. For standardisation purposes, however, it is necessary to formulate very specific characteristics of management practices which can be mapped to a series of instruments measuring the degree of conformity to a concise set of requirements.

In the present study these specific characteristics of management practices are identified with the help of organisational theory by considering the following well-known structuring attributes of organisations\textsuperscript{[30, 31]}:

1. **Specialisation** (e.g. the division of labour and departmentalisation processes)
2. **Coordination of organisational activities** (e.g. definition of communication strategies, assignment of tasks, social influence processes, definition of goals)
3. **Authority** (e.g. unity of command, span of control, issuing of instructions, power relationships)
4. **Decision making** (e.g. participation, delegation, legal representation and contractual power)
5. **Degree of formalisation** (i.e. documentation of procedures and rules).

These structuring attributes of organisations imply that a series of interrelated management activities are needed in order to ensure the continuity and existence of the organisation as a whole. For instance, the division of labour and the departmentalisation of the organisation result from previous decision making processes involving the definition of goals, assignment of tasks, communication of results, and delegation of authority. Moreover, the results reported in Table 2 capture relevant management practices which can be mapped into the main structuring attributes of organisations mentioned above. On the basis of this mapping exercise, a set of central characteristics of management practices, their dimensions, and the occupational health requirements concerning management practices are proposed in the present study. In addition, some examples of variables and information sources (organisational vs. members’ assessments) for each characteristic of management practices are provided (see Table 3).

**Communication** is a central characteristic of management processes satisfying core organisational functions such as sufficient information supply across work units, the coordination of work tasks, and the successful completion of problem solving tasks and decision making. Thus, high-quality communication practices can be defined by assessing whether management practices ensure that the information needed for accomplishing those functions is actually being exchanged. **Organisational justice** includes the socio-normative fundamentals of organisational procedures, allocation-related outcomes such as performance appraisal, compensation schemes, and task assignments, and interactional aspects concerning fair treatment of recipients\textsuperscript{[22, 33]}. The corresponding quality requirements regarding organisational justice demand clearly defined rules of procedures, allocation and interpersonal treatment. **Role clarity** concerns the degree of consistency (or conflict) of the work roles, and the subjective experiences of the organisation’s members concerning those roles\textsuperscript{[24–36]}. Accordingly, a clear definition of roles, tasks and responsibilities expected from the organisation’s members, and a consistent formulation of job roles represent appropriate quality requirements of management practices.

**Decision making** refers to the extent to which each member of the organisation is actively involved in the definition and design of relevant organisational processes such as the formulation of work tasks, the implementation of changes or suggestions, the use of specific work methods, and the arrangement of particular working conditions. The
### Table 3. Occupational health requirements to management practices. Examples of dimensions, variables and measurement levels for each characteristic of management practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisational attributes</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Quality requirements</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Organisational measures</th>
<th>Measures at the individual level</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>restrictive vs. sufficient</td>
<td>Sufficient information supply at all levels of the organisation</td>
<td>procedural justice, disciplinary rules, conflict resolution</td>
<td>Documentation on organisational procedures and rules</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of transparency of organisational procedures and rules</td>
<td>Colquitt et al. [40], Hastings et al. [41], Chiaburu et al. [42], Judson and Game [43], Dulebohn et al. [44], Judson et al. [45], Chiaburu et al. [46], Nyberg et al. [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority and formalisation</td>
<td>Organisational justice</td>
<td>arbitrariness vs. defined rules</td>
<td>Defined organisational procedures at the operative and administrative level for assigning rewards and sanctions</td>
<td>degree of conformity to those guidelines</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of conformity to procedures and rules</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of conformity to procedures and rules</td>
<td>Colquitt et al. [40], Jackson et al. [45], Ng and Feldman [46], Podsakoff et al. [47], Schyns and Schilling [48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialisation and coordination</td>
<td>Role clarity</td>
<td>undefined vs. defined</td>
<td>Clearly defined work tasks preventing role ambiguity</td>
<td>definition of work tasks, job roles and responsibilities</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of conformity to defined work roles</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of conformity to defined work roles</td>
<td>Judge et al. [49], Colquitt et al. [50], Podsakoff et al. [51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision making</td>
<td>Decision making</td>
<td>authoritarian vs. participative</td>
<td>Participative decision-making processes at the operative and strategic levels</td>
<td>active participation in the arrangement of particular working conditions (e.g. schedules, work stations, mental and physical load)</td>
<td>Documentation on participative decision-making guidelines</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of participation in decision-making processes</td>
<td>Chiaburu et al. [52], Seibert et al. [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination and specialisation</td>
<td>Influence processes</td>
<td>commanding vs. motivating</td>
<td>Motivating and rewarding leadership style</td>
<td>intrinsic motivation, job satisfaction</td>
<td>Documentation on expected leadership practices</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of job satisfaction, intrinsic motivation and expected rewards</td>
<td>Judge and Piccolo [54], Dulebohn et al. [55], Gerstner and Day [56], Judge et al. [57], Chiaburu et al. [58], Nyberg et al. [59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>low vs. high</td>
<td>High levels of top management, line management, supervisor and organisational support</td>
<td>intrinsically motivated and effective leadership</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of leadership quality</td>
<td>Members’ assessment of the degree of leadership quality</td>
<td>Gregersen et al. [60], Kauoppala et al. [61], Skakon et al. [62]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
corresponding quality requirement to management practices consists of the availability of participation channels and procedures concerning the particular work processes, tasks and conditions affecting the individual members of the organisation. Social influence processes take place at the leader-follower and leader-team level and involve typical leadership phenomena such as motivational, emotional, and social exchange processes. High-quality management practices are thus characterised among others by the enactment of motivating and inspiring leadership, excellent quality of social interaction and exchange between leaders and followers, and the adequacy of the leadership behaviours and styles to the specific organisational and societal culture. Support encompasses not only social support received from top and line managers and supervisors, but also the support channels institutionalised in organisational procedures. The quality requirements to management practices of support are therefore based on high levels of (social) support, availability of support channels, and clearly defined support networks.

**ISO 9000-based Quality Management of Management Practices**

**Continual improvement of management practices**

Conformity to occupational health requirements regarding management practices can be appropriately achieved by concatenating all quality management processes related to products and services with the quality of management practices as depicted schematically in Fig. 1. Conformity to the requirements of customers and other interested parties regarding organisational products and services is thus related indirectly to the fulfilment of occupational health requirements, in so far as they serve as inputs to the organisational processes resulting in a certain quality of output (see Fig. 1).

The standardisation approach of management practices depicted in Fig. 1 is not only a formal representation of relationships between different system components, it also illustrates causal relationships. For instance, the needs or expectations of customers or other interested parties distally cause how and to which extent resources are needed, the particular design of work processes leading to the realisation of products, and the amount of organisational output (upper quality loop in Fig. 1). At the same time, they may also determine to some extent how management practices are enacted, the degree to which management resources are used, and consequently the health states of the organisation’s members (lower quality loop in Fig. 1). On a similar argument, overall organisational output and a high quality of products and services depend on how healthy the members of the organisation are.

The incorporation of quality requirements for management practices in the organisational processes reinforces the feedback mechanisms of the ISO 9000 system. This is done by taking into account not only the information supplied by customers and other interested parties, but also internally by the organisation’s members. Hence, as a feedback system operating at two levels, the standardisation of management practices in continual improvement processes contributes to the design of more precise systems from imprecise components and to stabilise the system against external disturbances[^20].

**Improving the management practices of organisations**

Within the conceptual framework of ISO 9001:2008 it is possible to specify the sub-processes depicted in Fig. 1, and to formulate core activities for the improvement and effectiveness of management practices[^37].

**Management’s responsibility.** Top management shall commit itself to the development and implementation of the quality management system of management practices by taking into account the health-related requirements of the organisation’s members. In addition, top management should establish the quality policy of management practices, conduct management reviews and quality controls, and ensure the availability of resources for accomplishing the quality policy objectives. These activities should be performed in compliance with the occupational health requirements established in Table 3 for management practices, and, at the same time, guarantee the fulfilment of all organisational functions (see Fig. 1).

**Resource management.** The organisation should provide all resources needed for the implementation and maintenance of high-quality management practices. This includes hiring managers and supervisors with appropriate management practices skills, and providing adequate training for the fulfilment of high-quality management practices. The effectiveness of management practices in relation to occupational health requirements and the health states of the organisation’s members should be regularly evaluated. Importantly, it should be ensured that the personnel are aware of the relevance and importance of their activities toward high-quality management practices.

**Management practices realisation.** The organisation shall plan and develop processes for the realisation of high-quality management practices. This includes the definition of quality objectives, the integration of management practices in organisational processes, and the selection
Table 4. Illustration of the assessment of communication practices on the basis of the auditing guidelines of ISO 19011:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sufficient information supply at all levels of the organisation</td>
<td>• Organisational-level measures (e.g. communication procedures and channels)</td>
<td>• Evaluation of conformity vs. non-conformity of evidence with audit criteria</td>
<td>• Review of audit findings and health-related issues in the organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sufficient communication for coordinating work tasks across organisational units</td>
<td>• Individual-level measures (e.g. self-reported measures of amount of information received and sent, expectations concerning information supply, timeliness, and satisfaction with communication processes)</td>
<td>• If applicable, grading of the extent of conformity and non-conformity, respectively</td>
<td>• Preparation of recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sufficient communication procedures for problem and conflict solving</td>
<td>• Instruments (e.g. Communication Audit of the International Communication Association)</td>
<td>• Evaluation of effectiveness, good practices, and weaknesses</td>
<td>• Evaluation of the extent of conformity with the audit criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Availability of feedback channels</td>
<td>• Methodology of data collection and analysis: ○ Qualitative (e.g. interviews, observation; critical incident analysis, content analysis) ○ Quantitative (e.g. questionnaires, email traffic; statistical analysis)</td>
<td>• Identification of causes of findings</td>
<td>• Identification of causes of findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sufficient communication procedures ensuring successful completion of decision-making processes</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Preparation of recommendations for continual improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assessment of quality management practices may follow general auditing procedures as represented in international standards such as ISO 19011:2011. For instance, the quality requirements summarised in Table 3 may be used as audit criteria against which evidence collected at the organisational and individual level is compared. An analysis of conformity between the audit criteria and the collected evidence may be then used to derive specific conclusions and recommendations regarding the effectiveness, robustness and continual improvement of the management practices. As an illustration, a general procedure for assessing the quality of communication is reproduced in Table 4 (see Quinn & Hargie and Hargie, Tourish & Wilson for selected case studies).

Discussion

The main objective of the present study was to propose a standardisation approach which combines the results obtained in occupational health research on management (and leadership) practices, and the commitment demanded from management to attain organisational goals. To this end, an ISO-9000 standardisation approach was adopted, and six major occupational health requirements to high-quality management practices comprising communication processes, organisational justice, role clarity, decision making, social influence processes and support were described. There are at least three major advantages of this ISO-9000 standardisation approach. First, this approach is fully compatible with international quality management standards. Second, a process-oriented quality system should be capable of ensuring the continual improvement of occupational safety and health standards by considering the structural causes of work-related hazards and/or diseases. And third, current auditing procedures such as the ISO 19011:2011 may be easily adapted or extended in order to include the evaluation of the quality of management practices in organisations.

Nonetheless, several limitations ought to be mentioned. First, the present standardisation approach does not claim to comprise all imaginable occupational health requirements to management practices. In order to establish a set of minimum requirements, it was necessary to reduce the
number and complexity of requirements at the cost of an extensive catalogue of occupational health requirements. For instance, the proposed approach does not formulate cultural specificity as an independent requirement, although it has been recognised that cultures and organisations within countries may differ substantially regarding central facets of management and/or leadership such as charismatic leadership, team-oriented and participative leadership (41). However, the requirements to management practices reported in Table 3 are general enough as to allow the adaptation of particular components to the expected requirements of organisation’s members in different cultural and sectorial settings. For instance, despite the fact that an autocratic or dictatorial leadership style is commonly sanctioned in several cultures around the globe, there is cross-cultural variation regarding the value (and practice) of employee participation in decision-making processes (41, 42). Although a higher level of participation seems to be desirable in most cultures, the optimal degree of participation within a specific cultural and organisational setting may be lower in absolute terms, as long as the health requirements of the organisation’s members are met.

Second, since the present standardisation approach assumes that organisations have already implemented a quality management system based on continual improvement processes, the expected number of organisations willing to implement the standardisation of management practices is limited. Third, the definition of dimensions of measurement for each organisational characteristic reported in Table 3 is not exhaustive. In fact, future studies should evaluate and confirm the practicability, validity and predictive power of the dimensions proposed in the present study. It will be also necessary to assess the extent to which both the proposed variables and the organisational and individual measures capture the core features of high-quality management practices.

Conclusions

Management practices designate the overt and measurable behaviours, and institutionalised norms such as instructions, prescriptions, proscriptions and sanctions enacted by the leading members of the organisation that enable the coordination of activities to direct and control an organisation. In terms of modern occupational health regulations and guidelines, the quality of these practices may be improved by developing a quality management system of management practices that ensures not only conformity to product but also to occupational safety and health requirements. Future research should evaluate in practical settings the practicability, effects, benefits and drawbacks of the standardisation approach proposed in this study.
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(“leadership” OR “leader member exchange” OR “transformational leadership” OR “leader behavior” AND (performance OR satisfaction OR citizenship OR turnover OR productivity OR well-being OR job satisfaction OR affect OR depression OR musculoskeletal) AND (organization OR employee) AND (meta-analysis OR systematic-review))

PubMed (n = 38)
